WHEREAS, the City of Vineland has heretofore advertised for proposals for Professional Services for Construction Inspection Services for Landis Avenue Traffic Signal Upgrades, Phase 1 ; and

WHEREAS, two (2) proposals were received referred to the City Engineer for evaluation and recommendation; and

WHEREAS, it has recommended that a contract for the required services be awarded to Consulting Engineer Services, Sicklerville, NJ based upon the proposal received, pursuant to a fair and open process; and

WHEREAS this contract is awarded in an amount not to exceed $\$ 69,736.00$ for specified services, for a contract period of one year from Notice to Proceed; and

WHEREAS, the availability of funds for said Professional Services Contract to be awarded herein have been certified by the Chief Financial Officer; and

WHEREAS, the Local Public Contract Law (N.J.S.A. 40A:11-1, et seq) requires that the Resolution authorizing the award of contract for Professional Services without competitive bidding and the contract itself must be available for public inspection.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Vineland that said contract for Professional Services for Construction Inspection Services for Landis Avenue Traffic Signal Upgrades, Phase 1, be awarded to Consulting Engineer Services, Sicklerville, NJ, based upon the proposal received, pursuant to a fair and open process, in the amount not to exceed $\$ 69,736.00$ for a contract period of one year from Notice to Proceed.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the award of contract authorized herein shall be subject to the approval of the New Jersey Department of Transportation.

Adopted:

ATTEST:

## REQUEST FOR RESOLUTION FOR CONTRACT AWARDS UNDER 40A:11-5 EXCEPTIONS <br> (PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, EUS, SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE, ETC)

1/30/17
(DATE)

1. Service (detailed description): CONSTRUCTION INSPECTION SERVICES FOR LANDIS AVENUE TRAFFIC SIGNAL UPGRADES, PHASE 1
2. Amount to be Awarded: $\$ 69,736.00$

$\square$| Encumber Total Award |
| :--- |
| Encumber by Supplemental Release |

3. Amount Budgeted: $\$$ $\qquad$

## RECEIVED <br> JAN 302017

4. Budgeted: By Ordinance No. 2016-38

Or Grant: Title \& Year $\qquad$
5. **Account Number to be Charged: 021-0-00-00-0000-2-5518615
6. Contract Period: 1 Year - From Note to Proceed per D. Naillet
7. Date To Be Awarded: $2 / 14 / 17$
8. Recommended Vendor and Address:

Consulting Engineer Services
645 Berlin-Cross Keys Road, Suite 1, Sicklerv
9. Justification for Vendor Recommendation:(attach additional information for Council review) Following Federal guidelines, the consultant was selected (from 2 proposers) to be the most technically qualified. Then the cost proposal was reviewed and found to be acceptable.
$\square$ Non-Fair \& Open (Pay-to-Play documents required)
$\checkmark$ Fair \& Open: How was RFP advertised?
10. Evaluation Performed by: David Mallet and Mike Russo
11. Approved by:


Brian Myers, City Engineer
12. Attachments:

Awarding Proposal
Other: Ranking sheets

- Send copies to:

Purchasing Division
Business Administration ${ }^{3}$
** If more than one account \#, provide break down





consulting engineer services
Engineers, Planners, and Land Surveyors

Miguel Mercado, Purchasing Agent
Jay Sims, PE, PP, CME, Vice President
Land Development

Subject: COST PROPOSAL

Dear Mr. Miguel:
Consulting Engineer Services (CES) is pleased to submit one (1) original and five (5) copies of the enclosed Cost Proposal for providing construction inspection services for the Landis Avenue Traffic Signal Upgrades - Phase 1 (Myrtle Street to Sixth Street) project. Based on the services outlined in the Request for Proposals (RFP) and our Technical Proposal (submitted under separate cover), we have prepared the enclosed Cost Proposal for the requested services. The costs have been broken down and summarized by task, staff type, overhead, profit, and reimbursable expenses, as requested in the RFP.

The total proposed fee for this project is $\$ 69,736.00$. As noted on the enclosed Cost Summary, our DBE sub-consultant (KMA Consulting Engineers) has been assigned $12.74 \%$ of the project cost which exceeds the DBE goal of $12.44 \%$.

Thank you for the time you have taken to review this proposal. If further information is required, please contact me at your earliest convenience at (856) 228-2200.

Very truly yours,


Norman K. Rodgers, III, P.E., P. LS., C.M.E.
President

Enc.

## Construction Inspection Services for Landis Avenue Traffic Signal Upgrades, Phase I

## Consulting Engineer Services Cost Breakdown



## Construction Inspection Services for Landis Avenue Traffic Signal Upgrades, Phase I

KMA Consulting Engineers Cost Breakdown


## Construction Inspection Services for

## Landis Avenue Traffic Signal Upgrades, Phase I

Staffing Plan with Cost

|  |  |  |  |  | HOURS PER TASK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staff Name | Title | Direct Labor Rate | Approved Overhead Rate | Fixed Fee | A | B | c | D | tOTAL HOURS | TOTAL COST | PERCENT OF TOTAL COST |
| Consulting Engineer Services, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 87.26\% |
| Norman Rodgers | Principal | \$59.00 | 123.7\% | 18\% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | \$427.81 |  |
| Michael Brown | Resident Engineer | \$41.70 | 123.7\% | 18\% | 144 | 6 | 16 | 20 | 186 | \$18,746.74 |  |
| David Dunmyer (NICET III) | Inspector | \$32.28 | 123.7\% | 18\% | 18 | 12 | 480 | 16 | 526 | \$41,038.92 |  |
| Darlene Pirolli | Clerk | \$26.34 | 123.7\% | 18\% | 4 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 10 | \$636.64 |  |
|  |  |  | CES SUBTOTAL HOURS: |  | 168 | 20 | 496 | 41 | 725 | \$60,850.10 |  |
|  |  |  | CES SUBTOTAL COST: |  | \$16,457.84 | \$1,668.31 | \$39,062.59 | \$3,661.37 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CES LABOR + OVERHEAD + FIXED FEE: |  |  | \$60,850.10 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CES DIRECT EXPENSES: |  | \$0.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CES TOTAL: | \$60,850.10 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KMA Consulting Engineers, Inc. (DBE Firm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.74\% |
| Staff | Principal | \$0.00 | 129.16\% | 18\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |  |
| Staff | Resident Engineer | \$0.00 | 129.16\% | 18\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |  |
| Yuriy Gladshteyn | Inspector | \$29.96 | 129.16\% | 18\% | 0 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 120 | \$8,885.90 |  |
| Staff | Clerk | \$0.00 | 129.16\% | 18\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |  |
|  |  |  | KMA SUBTOTAL HOURS: |  | 0 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 120 | \$8,885.90 |  |
|  | $\cdot$ |  | KMA SUBTOTAL COST: |  | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$8,885.90 | \$0.00 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | KMA LABOR + OVERHEAD + PROFIT: |  |  | \$8,885.90 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | KMA DIRECT EXPENSES: |  | \$0.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | KMA TOTAL: | \$8,885.30 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\because$ | TOTAL: |  | 168 | 20 | 616 | 41. | 845. | \$69,736.00 | 100.00\% |

## Construction Inspection Services for <br> Landis Avenue Traffic Signal Upgrades, Phase I

## Cost Summary by Task

|  | TOTAL COST PER TASK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMPANY | A | B | c | D | DIRECT EXPENSES | TOTAL COST | PERCENT OF TOTAL COST |
| Consulting Engineer Services, Inc. | \$16,457.84 | \$1,668.31 | \$39,062.59 | \$3,661.37 | \$0.00 | \$60,850.10 | 87.26\% |
| KMA Consulting Engineers, Inc. (DBE Firm) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$8,885.90 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$8,885.90 | 12.74\% * |
| TOTAL | \$16,457.84 | \$1,668.31 | \$47,948.48 | \$3,661.37 | \$0.00 | \$69,736.00 | 100.00\% |
| * NOTE: DBE/ESBE goal is $12.44 \%$ and $12.74 \%$ is | vided |  |  |  |  |  |  |



FOR EACH FIRM, GIVE A RATING OF 1-10 FOR EACH CATEGORY.

| CATEGORY | Technical Approach | Firm Qualifications | Staff Qualifications | DBE Utilization | Final Score |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FIRM  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CES | 8 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 92\% | 0 |
| Remington \& Vernick | 688 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 92\% | 0 |

TECHNICAL APPROACH (40\%): Understanding of the effort required. Shall address (1)discussion of approaches to resolving any problems, and (2) community involvement process. FIRM QUALIFICATIONS (20\%): Shall provide list of similar work including contact info for clients, full description of services provided by the firm, organizational chart and description of firm, and how subcontractors are used to supplement services.
STAFF QUALIFICATIONS (25\%): Qualifications, experience and office address of firms and any subcontractor's staff. Resumes of the professional staff. Location of the office that will be performing the work.
DBE UTILIZATION (15\%): The DBE Utilization goal for this project is $12.44 \%$. Anything short of meeting the goal shall result in a rating of 1 .
Scores provided by
Mike Russo

FOR EACH FIRM, GIVE A RATING OF 1-10 FOR EACH CATEGORY.

| CATEGORY \% | Technical Approach | Firm Qualifications \% \% | Staff_Qualifications , | DBE U Utilization | Final Score |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FIRM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CES | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8.4 | 0 |
| Remington \& Vernick | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | $\cdots 8.15$ | 0 |

TECHNICAL APPROACH (40\%): Understanding of the effort required. Shall address (1)discussion of approaches to resolving any problems, and (2) community involvement process. FIRM QUALIFICATIONS (20\%): Shall provide list of similar work including contact info for clients, full description of services provided by the firm, organizational chart and description of firm, and how subcontractors are used to supplement services.
STAFF QUALIFICATIONS (25\%): Qualifications, experience and office address of firms and any subcontractor's staff. Resumes of the professional staff. Location of the office that will be performing the work.
DBE UTILIZATION (15\%): The DBE Utilization goal for this project is $12.44 \%$. Anything short of meeting the goal shall result in a rating of 1 .
Scores provided by
Dave Maillet

