RESOLUTION NO. 2020-<u>268</u>

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AGREEMENT FOR PROFESSIONAL DESIGN SERVICES WITH CONSULTING ENGINEER SERVICES, SICKLERVILLE, NJ FOR RESURFACING OF MILL ROAD (LANDIS AVENUE TO ALMOND ROAD).

WHEREAS, the City of Vineland has heretofore advertised for proposals for Professional Design Services for Resurfacing of Mill Road (Landis Avenue to Almond Road; and

WHEREAS, the Requests was published on the City of Vineland's official website and in the City's official newspaper; and

WHEREAS, nine (9) proposals were received and referred to the City Engineer for evaluation and recommendation; and

WHEREAS, it has recommended that a contract for the required services be awarded to Consulting Engineer Services, Sicklerville, NJ based upon the proposal received, pursuant to a fair and open process; and

WHEREAS this contract is awarded in an amount not to exceed \$139,422.82 for specified services, for a contract period of one year from notice to proceed; and

WHEREAS, the availability of funds for said Professional Services Contract to be awarded herein have been certified by the Chief Financial Officer; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Vineland that said contract for Professional Design Services for Resurfacing of Mill Road (Landis Avenue and Almond Road), be awarded to Consulting Engineer Services, Sicklerville, NJ, based upon the proposal received, pursuant to a fair and open process, in the amount not to exceed \$139,422.82 for a contract period of one year from notice to proceed.

Adopted:	
	President of Council
ATTEST:	
City Clerk	-

REQUEST FOR RESOLUTION FOR CONTRACT AWARDSCEIVED

UNDER 40A:11-5 EXCEPTIONS

(PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, EUS, SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE, ETC)

CITY OF VINELAND BUSINESS ADMIN.

June 16, 2020 (DATE)

1. 5	Service (detailed description): Professional Design Services - Resurfacing of Mill Road
2. A	Amount to be Awarded: \$ 139,422.82
	Encumber Total Award Encumber by Supplemental Release
3.	Amount Budgeted: \$
4.	Budgeted: By Ordinance No Or Grant: Title & Year
5.	**Account Number to be Charged: C-04-00-000-1918-78001
6.	Contract Period: 1 year
7.	Date To Be Awarded:
8.	Recommended Vendor and Address: Consulting Engineer Services
	645 Berlin-Cross Keys Road, Suite 1, Sicklerv
9.	Justification for Vendor Recommendation:(attach additional information for Council review) See attached
	 Non-Fair & Open (Pay-to-Play documents required) ✓ Fair & Open: How was RFP advertised?
10.	Evaluation Performed by: DJM, RC, MR, SW
11.	Approved by:
	David J. Maillet, PE
12.	Attachments:
	Awarding Proposal Other:
• ** If	Send copies to: Purchasing Division Business Administration more than one account #, provide break down

Award Justification

PROFESSIONAL DESIGN SERVICES FOR MILL ROAD RESURFACING

Upon review of all nine (9) proposals, it was determined that Consultant Engineer Services (CES) was the most qualified firm to complete the project. Technical review rating forms are attached for review.

After ranking the proposals based on technical proficiency, the highest ranked bidder's cost proposal was opened and a meeting was scheduled to discuss the scope of work and the cost proposal.

(Take note that, in this Federal process, the only cost proposal opened is that of the highest ranked proposer from a technical standpoint.)

On May 8, 2020, David Maillet and Rickie Caudill met with representatives of CES through a teleconference. During that meeting, the scope of the project was reviewed. At the request of the City, the scope was slightly expanded to include the videoing of the existing stormwater system within the limits.

On June 11, 2020, CES emailed a revised cost proposal for the work of this project. It reflected the additional work noted above.

It is the opinion of the City Engineer that CES is very well qualified to perform the work of this project and that they have worked in good faith to provide the City of Vineland with a fair and reasonable price for the services to be rendered.



April 22, 2020 Revised June 11, 2020

David J. Maillet, P.E., City Engineer City of Vineland - Division of Engineering 640 E. Wood Street PO Box 1508 Vineland, NJ 08362-1508

Subject:

REVISED COST PROPOSAL

Professional Design Services Resurfacing of Mill Road

Landis Avenue to Almond Road (CR 540) City of Vineland, Cumberland County, NJ Norman K. Rodgers, III, PE, PLS, CME, CPWM

Marie Baaden, PE, CME, Vice President **Municipal Services**

> Tony Lecane, Vice President Surveying Services

Steven M. Shriver, Vice President **Business Development**

Jay Sims, PE, PP, CME, Vice President Land Development

Michael R. Brown, PE, PTOE, CME, Associate

Adam R. Grant, PLS, Associate

Paul A. Witthohn, PE, CME, Associate

Henry J. Haley, PE, PP, CME **CEO** Emeritus

> Michael C. Dupras, MS Alan J. Ippolito, PE. CME Lorianne M. Lawyer, PLS Erik R. Littlehales, PE Jarod Thomas, PE

Dear Mr. Maillet:

Thank you for the conference call on May 8, 2020 to discuss the Mill Road resurfacing project. The following individuals participated in the call:

- David Maillet, Vineland City Engineer
- Rick Caudill, Vineland Senior Engineer
- Jay Sims, CES
- Michael Brown, CES

During our call, the following clarifications and additions were made to the original scope of work that was presented in our Proposal dated April 22, 2020:

- Cleaning and video inspection of all stormwater pipes within the project limits shall be 1. added to the scope of work. The City replaced one section of corrugated aluminum pipe a few years ago. Pipe replacement or slip lining will be included in the project for pipes in poor condition.
- Scope of work does not include services during the bidding and construction phases of 2. the project.
- Public Information Session will be scheduled following preparation of the base plans. 3. CES will attend the meeting so that any property owner concerns can be reviewed and addressed.

- 4. There is an at-grade railroad crossing within the project limits, and an existing corrugated aluminum stormwater pipe running along Mill Road crosses under the railroad. Replacement or lining of the pipe may be warranted. Railroad coordination, permitting, and any associated fees shall be added to the proposal for any work that may be proposed at the crossing.
- 5. There are three (3) current design projects that converge at the intersection of Landis Avenue and Mill Road (Landis Ave Phase 5, Landis Ave Phase 6, and intersection/traffic signal project). The City will provide copies of design plans for reference. It is expected that these three (3) projects will not be constructed prior to the surveying and design for Mill Road, therefore the Mill Road design shall be prepared to tie into the other proposed designs, where applicable.

STORMWATER PIPE CLEANING AND VIDEO INSPECTION

As noted above, cleaning and video inspection of all stormwater pipes within the project limits will be completed as part of this project, and we have added this work to the cost proposal. We propose to use a subcontractor, Franc Environmental, Inc., to perform the cleaning and video inspection. Per the Existing Drainage Map provided by the City and a recent site inspection by Franc, the existing pipes are 12-24" diameter, totaling approximately 3,400 linear feet. This work will include traffic control and disposal of all debris. We understand that water for pipe cleaning will be available from the Vineland Public Works Yard on Walnut Road at no charge.

Franc will provide a DVD and a written report once the work is completed. CES will provide copies of the DVD and report to the City Engineer, along with recommendations for repairing, replacing, or lining the existing stormwater pipes and structures where warranted.

RAILROAD COORDINATION

As noted above, railroad coordination and permitting will be completed as part of the project, and we have added this work to the cost proposal. Based on our previous project experience, submission to the NJDOT Diagnostic Team will be required for their review and approval. NJDOT may recommend additional signage and/or pavement markings to improve safety at the at-grade crossing, and CES will incorporate these improvements into the design as needed. We understand that there is no fee associated with the NJDOT Diagnostic Team review.

The subject rail line is owned by Conrail, and CES recently corresponded with Conrail to identify any specific requirements for this project. Conrail review and approval will be required for any construction associated with the crossing, such as replacing or lining the stormwater pipe that crosses under the railroad. The Conrail Engineering Review Fee is \$1,500.00 which we have included in the revised cost proposal as a direct expense. Conrail has specific requirements for contractors performing the work at the crossing, and CES will include these requirements in the bid documents for construction.

REVISED COST PROPOSAL

Based on the above clarifications and additions to the project scope, we have revised the cost proposal accordingly. New or revised line items are shown in red for your reference. All totals have also been adjusted accordingly. The revised total fee for this project is \$139,422.82. You may note that, due to the increase in the total fee, we have adjusted the work to be performed by our DBE sub-consultant (KMA Consulting Engineers) to maintain compliance with the DBE goal. The DBE percentage is now 15.85% of the project cost which exceeds the goal of 12.49%.

We look forward to working with the City on this project. If further information is required, please contact us at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

Jay Sims, PE, PP, CME

Vice President, Land Development

Michael R D

Michael R. Brown, PE, PTOE, CME

Associate

Enc: Cost Proposal tables, revised 6/11/20

NJ Business Registration Certificate for Franc Environmental, Inc.

CES Cost Breakdown

		Principal \$67.70	Project Manager \$49.55	Project Designer \$41.06	Sr Environ Scientist \$49.25	2-Person Survey Crew \$56.10	Survey Coordinator \$57.70	Prof Land Surveyor \$49.50	Clerk \$28.91	Hours For Item	Cost For Item
Description/T		\$67.70	\$45.00	\$41.00	343.25	\$50.10	\$51.70	\$45.00	420.51	TOT ILEM	T OI Item
Pre-Design Ta										40.0	8407 50
	otain & Review Available Plans and Documents		2.0	8.0						10.0	\$427.58 \$148.65
	oject Schedule		3.0							3.0	
	e Inspection		5.0	8.0						13.0	\$576.23
	vement Investigation Coord		3.0	40.0						3.0	\$148.65 \$1,053.36
	orm Pipe Cleaning and Video Inspection Coord		8.0	16.0						24.0	\$495.50
	onfirm Design Methodology with City & Preliminary Engineer's Report		10.0		************					10.0	
7 Co	oord / Corresp	4.0	2.0						4.0	10.0	\$485.54
	Sub-Totals	4.0	33.0	32.0					4.0	73.0	\$3,335.51
Survey and M	lapping Task										
1 De	eed Research & Plottings			12.0				10.0		22.0	\$987.72
2 Re	econ, Locate Property Corners, Control					16.0	8.0			24.0	\$1,359.20
	et Baseline					8.0		6.0		14.0	\$745.80
	pad Xsections & Util Down Measurements					16.0	*** ***			16.0	\$897.60
	il Verifications			10.0						10.0	\$410.60
6 Ex	disting Base Plans, X-Secs, Topo, Utilities		2.5	32.0				4.0		38.5	\$1,635.80
7 Cc	oord / Corresp	4.0	2.5					2.5	4.0	13.0	\$634.0
	Sub-Totals	4.0	5.0	54.0		40.0	8.0	22.5	4.0	137.5	\$6,670.78
Design Task											
1 Im	provement Program Report		12.0							12.0	\$594.60
2 Tit	tle Sheet		1.5	2.5						4.0	\$176.98
	stimate of Quantities		1.5	16.0						17.5	\$731.29
4 Ty	p Sections, Legend, Notes		2.5	10.0						12.5	\$534.48
	seline Plan		1.0	10.0						11.0	\$460.15
6 Cc	onstruction Plans (50% w/o qtys)		20.0	44.0						64.0	\$2,797.64
	rofiles, X-Sections, Ties & Grades		20.0	44.0						64.0	\$2,797.64
	etailed Grading (I-Sects & Curb Ramps)		8.0	20.0						28.0	\$1,217.60
	gning & Striping		2.0	14.0						16.0	\$673.94
10 Sc	oil Erosion & Sediment Control		2.0	10.0						12.0	\$509.70
	raffic Control Phasing Plans		2.0							26.0	\$1,084.54
	onstruction Details		2.0			1				22.0	\$920.30
	an Quantities		8.0	16.0						24.0	\$1,053.36
	rainage Assessment		40.0							40.0	\$1,982.00
	5% Final Plans (w/gtys)		8.0	28.0						36.0	\$1,546.08
	pecifications		30.0			1				30.0	\$1,486.50
	ost Estimate		4.0							24.0	\$1,019.40
	00% Plans, Specs, Estimate		8.0							40.0	\$1,710.32
	nal Deliverables		1.0							9.0	\$378.03
	oord / Corresp	4.0							4.0	16.0	\$782.84
20 0.	Sub-Totals	4.0	181.5	318.5		-			4.0	508.0	\$22,457.38
Permitting an	nd Approvals Task										
	PO Request for Project Authorization		1.0		6.0	0				7.0	\$345.0
	CD Certification		4.0							4.0	\$198.20
	onrail Submission and Coord		8.0							16.0	\$724.88
	JDOT Diagnostic Team Submission and Coord	Ī	8.0	8.0						16.0	\$724.88

CES Breakdown 4/21/20, revised 6/11/20 Page 1

CES Cost Breakdown

Descripti	ion/Tasks	Principal \$67.70	Project Manager \$49.55	Project Designer \$41.06	Sr Environ Scientist \$49.25	2-Person Survey Crew \$56.10	Survey Coordinator \$57.70	Prof Land Surveyor \$49.50	Clerk \$28.91	Hours For Item	Cost For Item
Descripti 5	Coordination / Correspondence	2.01	4.0		V10.20	400.10	1	¥.10.100	4.01	10.0	\$449.24
- 3	Sub-Totals	2.0	25.0	16.0	6.0				4.0	53.0	\$2,442.2
Montings	and Community Involvement										
1	Meeting Attendance & Minutes (8 meetings)	***************************************	48.0							48.0	\$2,378.40
2	Color Exhibits/Handouts		2.0	12.0						14.0	\$591.83
3	Coordination / Correspondence	1.0	6.0						2.0	9.0	\$422.8
	Sub-Totals Sub-Totals	1.0	56.0	12.0					2.0	71.0	\$3,393.0
	Total Hours (by staff level)	15.0	300.5	432.5	6.0	40.0	8.0	22.5	18.0	842.5	
	Total Cost (by staff level)	\$1,015.50	\$14,889.78	\$17,758.45	\$295.50	\$2,244.00	\$461.60		\$520.38		\$38,298.9
								DIRECT LABOR:		\$38,29	8.96
									HEAD (123.7%):	\$47,37	
									SUBTOTAL:	\$85,67	
							+ FIXED	FEE ON DIRECT	LABOR (18%):	\$6,893	3.81
									SUBTOTAL:	\$92,56	
								DIRE	CT EXPENSES:	\$24,75	
									TOTAL:	\$117,32	22.57
		DIF	RECT EXPENS	<u>ES</u>							
	Payama	nt Investigation	\$3,000.00	(Sub-Consultan	I - 5 core samo	les and report)					
	Storm Pipe Cleaning and V		\$17,175.00			5 tons debris ma	ax)				
	Glotti i pe dicating and i	Plans	\$55.00				ed Plottings to Ci	ty - Assume 2 se	ets of 10 sheets)		
		Plans	\$55.00	(Existing Condit	tions Plans to U	til Companies - /	Assume 4 sets o	f 5 sheets)			
		Plans	\$137.50	(50% Plans to 0	City - Assume 1	set of 50 sheets	5)				
-	Color Ex	hibits/Handouts	\$500.00								
		Plans	\$137.50	(85% Plans to 0	City - Assume 1	set of 50 sheets	5)				
-		Reports	\$27.50	(85% Specs to							
-		Plans	\$55.00			e 2 sets of 10 sh	neets)				
		Reports	\$50.00	(Specs to Conn							
		Fee	\$1,500.00	(Conrail Engine							
		Plans	\$55.00				me 2 sets of 10	sheets)			
		Plans	\$181.50			of 50 and 4 set					
		Plans	\$687.50			sets of 50 sheets					
		Plans	\$412.50			sets of 50 sheets	s, tabloid size)				
		Reports	\$125.00	(Bid Specs to C	city - 5 sets)			605 6	0 -11-1		
		Plans	\$550.00				2 sets for final F	OME, assume 5	U sneets)		
		Reports	\$50.00	(Bid Specs to N							
		CD T EXPENSES:	N/C	(CD's with final	plans and ACA	D files)		L			

KMA Consulting Engineers Cost Breakdown

Description/Tasks	Project Mgr \$63.60	Sr Engineer \$54.34	Engineer \$43.55	Chief Surveyor \$45.50	Survey Crew 2 \$62.47	Survey Crew 3 \$82.72	CADD Specialist \$35.75	Hours For Item	Cost For Item
Survey and Mapping Task									
1 Establish Survey Control	1.0	6.0		8.0		16.0		31.0	\$2,077.10
2 Topographic and Utility Survey	1:0			4.0	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR			45.0	\$2,744.4
3 Drainage Survey	1.0				10.0			11.0	\$688.30
4 ROW Monumentation and Deed Plotting	1.0	2.0		6.0	10.0		8.0	27.0	\$1,355.9
5 Baseline Generation	1.0	8.0					16.0	25.0	\$1,070.3
6 Survey Control Report	1.0		8.0	8.0				17.0	\$776.0
Sub-Totals	6.0	16.0	8.0	26.0	60.0	16.0	24.0	156.0	\$8,712.1
						D	RECT LABOR:	\$8,712	2.16
						+ OVERHEAD (131.08%):		\$11,419.90	
							SUBTOTAL:	\$20,132.06	
					+ FIXED	FEE ON DIRECT	LABOR (18%):	\$1,568	3.19
							SUBTOTAL:	\$21,70	
						DIRE	CT EXPENSES:	\$400	.00
							TOTAL:	\$22,10	0.25
	DI	RECT EXPENSE	<u>s</u>						
	Mileage	\$200.00							
Reproduction, Printing									
	T EXPENSES:								

Staffing Plan

			нс	URS PER TAS	sk		
		Pre-Design Task	Survey and Mapping Task	Design Task	Permitting and Approvals Task	Meetings and Community Involvement	
Staff Name	Title	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL HOURS
Consulting Engineer Service	s. Inc.				open version		
Norman Rodgers	Principal	4	4	4	2	1	15
Michael Brown	Project Manager	33	5	181.5	25	56	300.5
Jeffrey Clark	Project Designer	32	54	318.5	16	12	432.5
Michael Dupras	Sr Environ Scientist	0	0	0	6	0	6
Chris Green & Adam Baronet	2-Person Survey Crew	0	40	0	0	0	40
Tony Lecane	Survey Coordinator	0	8	0	0	0	8
Adam Grant	Prof Land Surveyor	0	22.5	0	0	0	22.5
Donna Hann	Clerk	4	4	4	4	2	18
		73	137.5	508	53	71	842.5
KMA Consulting Engineers,	Inc. (DBE Firm)						
Staff	Project Mgr	0	6	0	0	0	6
Staff	Sr Engineer	0	16	0	0	0	16
Staff	Engineer	0	8	0	0	0	8
Staff	Chief Surveyor	0	26	0	0	0	26
Staff	Survey Crew 2	0	60	0	0	0	60
Staff	Survey Crew 3	0	16	0	0	0	16
Staff	CADD Specialist	0	24	0	0	0	24
		0	156	0	0	0	156
TOTAL		73	293.5	508	53	71	998.5

Staffing Plan with Cost

						н	OURS PER TA	SK				
					Pre-Design Task	Survey and Mapping Task	Design Task	Permitting and Approvals Task	Meetings and Community Involvement			PERCEN
Staff Name	Title	Direct Labor Rate	Approved Overhead Rate	Fixed Fee	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL	OF TOTAL COST
Consulting Engineer S	ervices, Inc.								ALC: NO.			
Norman Rodgers	Principal	\$67.70	123.7%	18%	4	4	4	2	11	15	\$2,454.46	
Michael Brown	Project Manager	\$49.55	123.7%	18%	33	5	181.5	25	56	300.5	\$35,988.59	
Jeffrey Clark	Project Designer	\$41.06	123.7%	18%	32	54	318.5	16	12	432.5	\$42,922.17	
Michael Dupras	Sr Environ Scientist	\$49.25	123.7%	18%	0	0	0	6	0	6	\$714.22	
Chris Green	2-Person Survey Crew	\$56.10	123.7%	18%	0	40	0	0	0	40	\$5,423.75	
Tony Lecane	Survey Coordinator	\$57.70	123.7%	18%	0	8	0	0	0	8	\$1,115.69	
Adam Grant	Prof Land Surveyor	\$49.50	123.7%	18%	0	22.5	0	0	0	22.5	\$2,691.93	
Donna Hann	Clerk	\$28.91	123.7%	18%	4	4	4	4	2	18	\$1,257.76	84.15%
***************************************			CES SUBTO	OTAL HOURS:	73	137.5	508	53	71	842.5	\$92,568.57	
			CES SUB	TOTAL COST:	\$8,061.93	\$16,123.28	\$54,279.48	\$5,902.92	\$8,200.98			
								CES LABOR	+ OVERHEAD	+ FIXED FEE:	\$92,568.57	
		-				 				T EXPENSES:	\$24,754.00	
										CES TOTAL:	\$117,322.57	
KMA Consulting Engin	eers, Inc. (DBE Firm)					1		1				
Staff	Project Mgr	\$63.60	131.08%	18%	0	6	0	0	0	6	\$950.49	
Staff	Sr Engineer	\$54.34	131.08%	18%	0	16	0	0	0	16	\$2,165.60	
Staff	Engineer	\$43.55	131.08%	18%	0	8	0	0	0	8	\$867.79	
Staff	Chief Surveyor	\$45.50	131.08%	18%	0	26	0	0	0	26	\$2,946.62	
Staff	Survey Crew 2	\$62.47	131.08%	18%	0	60	0	0	0	60	\$9,336.02	
Staff	Survey Crew 3	\$82.72	131.08%	18%	0	16	0	0	0	16	\$3,296.62	
Staff	CADD Specialist	\$35.75	131.08%	18%	0	24	0	0	0	24	\$2,137.11	15.85%
				OTAL HOURS:	0	156	0	0	0	156	\$21,700.25	
			KMA SUE	TOTAL COST:	\$0.00	\$21,700.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			
								KMA LABOR	+ OVERHEAD	+ FIXED FEE:	\$21,700.25	
									KMA DIREC	T EXPENSES:	\$400.00	
										KMA TOTAL:	\$22,100.25	
			1								-	

Cost Summary by Task

		TOTAL	COST PER TA	sk				
	Pre-Design Task	Survey and Mapping Task	Design Task	Permitting and Approvals Task	Meetings and Community Involvement			
COMPANY	A	В	С	D	E	DIRECT EXPENSES	TOTAL COST	PERCENT OF TOTAL COST
Consulting Engineer Services, Inc.	\$8,061.93	\$16,123.28	\$54,279.48	\$5,902.92	\$8,200.98	\$24,754.00	\$117,322.57	84.15%
KMA Consulting Engineers, Inc. (DBE Firm)	\$0.00	\$21,700.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$400.00	\$22,100.25	15.85% *
TOTAL	\$8,061.93	\$37,823.52	\$54,279.48	\$5,902.92	\$8,200.98	\$25,154.00	\$139,422.82	100.00%

	FIXED FEE CALCULATION		
PROJECT: CONSULTANT: AGREEMENT OR CAM N	Vineland Mill Road Resurfacing Consulting Engineer Services, Inc.		
PREPARED BY: DATE:	JS 4/15/2020 revised 6/11/20		
EVALUATE PR	OJECT SPECIFICS:		
	e Total Project Design Cost (or Task Order Design Cost) for the SIZE criteri assign points for SIZE, COMPLEXITY, DURATION, & DEGREE OF RISK ject tasks.	а	
SPECIFICS	CRITERIA	<u>POINTS</u>	RATING
SIZE:	SMALL - Total Fee (Project Design Cost) < \$1,250,000	15	15
	LARGE - Total Fee (Project Design Cost) > \$1,250,000	20	
COMPLEXITY:	SIMPLE - Limited Scope of Work; Deck Patching, Resurfacing, Restoration, Inspection, Concept Development Services	10	10
	STANDARD - Moderate Scope; Rehabilitation, New, or Reconstruction	15	
	COMPLEX - Multi-disciplined, Highly Specialized, or Major Unusual	20	
DURATION:	STANDARD - Appropriate Schedule	15	15
	AGGRESSIVE - Designers Schedule Reduced > 10 %	20	
DEGREE OF RISK:	LEVEL 1 - Low Risk of Claims; Studies, Surveys, Landscape, Inspections	20	20
	LEVEL 2 - Average Risk of Claims; Standard Complexity or Level 1 with High Public Exposure	30	
	LEVEL 3 - High Risk of Claims; Hazardous, Experimental, or Bonus/Penalty Clauses	40	
	TOTAL RATING =		60
CALCULATE F	EE:		
PROJECT SPECIFICS F	ACTOR (Total Rating /100) =	0.60	
FEE FACTOR (Project S	Specifics Factor x 0.30) =	0.18	
DIRECT LABOR COSTS	\$	38,298	.96
TOTAL FEE (Dire	ect Labor Costs x Fee Factor) =	6,893.	B1

	FIXED FEE CALCULATION		
PROJECT: CONSULTANT: AGREEMENT OR CAM NO.	Vineland Mill Road Resurfacing KMA Consulting Engineers, Inc		
PREPARED BY: DATE:	DB 4/15/2020 revised 6/11/20		
EVALUATE PRO	JECT SPECIFICS:		
Directions: Prime Consultant will use To Each Subconsultant will ass based on its specific project SPECIFICS	otal Project Design Cost (or Task Order Design Cost) for the SIZE crite ign points for SIZE, COMPLEXITY, DURATION, & DEGREE OF RISK tasks. CRITERIA	POINTS	RATING
SIZE:	SMALL - Total Fee (Project Design Cost) < \$1,250,000	15 Г	15
SIZE.	LARGE - Total Fee (Project Design Cost) > \$1,250,000	20	
COMPLEXITY:	SIMPLE - Limited Scope of Work; Deck Patching, Resurfacing, Restoration, Inspection, Concept Development Services	10 [10
	STANDARD - Moderate Scope; Rehabilitation, New, or Reconstruction	15	
	COMPLEX - Multi-disciplined, Highly Specialized, or Major Unusual	20	
DURATION:	STANDARD - Appropriate Schedule	15 [15
	AGGRESSIVE - Designers Schedule Reduced > 10 %	20	
DEGREE OF RISK:	LEVEL 1 - Low Risk of Claims; Studies, Surveys, Landscape, Inspections	20 [20
	LEVEL 2 - Average Risk of Claims; Standard Complexity or Level 1 with High Public Exposure	30	
	LEVEL 3 - High Risk of Claims; Hazardous, Experimental, or Bonus/Penalty Clauses	40	
	TOTAL RATING =		60
CALCULATE FEI	:		
PROJECT SPECIFICS FAC	TOR (Total Rating /100) =	0.60	
FEE FACTOR (Project Spe	ecifics Factor x 0.30) =	0.18	
DIRECT LABOR COSTS			
TOTAL FEE (Direct	Labor Costs x Fee Factor) =	\$ 1,568.19	



STATE OF NEW JERSEY BUSINESS REGISTRATION CERTIFICATE

Taxpayer Name:

FRANC ENVIRONMENTAL OF NEW JERSEY, INC.

Trade Name:

Address:

321 MAPLE AVE

HORSHAM, PA 19044-2139

Certificate Number:

0936837

Effective Date:

September 19, 2002

Date of Issuance:

June 04, 2020

For Office Use Only:

20200604135253181

20-011 RFP For PROFESSIONAL DESIGN SERVICES

for

RESURFACING OF MILL ROAD

FOR EACH FIRM, GIVE A RATING OF 1-10 FOR EACH CATEGORY.

SATECORY	RC	MR	MIG	Final Score
CATEGORY		the control of the second of t		
FIRM		7.05	8.3	8.02
ARH Associates	7.9	7.85		
Consulting & Municipal Engineers (CME)	7.6	7.9		
Consulting Engineer Services (CES)	8.4	9.15	9.15	
	7.9	7.85	8.1	7.95
French & Parrello Associates	7.9	6.35	7.85	7.37
GPI		6.65		8.13
Keller Engineers	8.6			
Pennoni Associates	8.05	8.3		
Remington & Vernick Engineers (RVE)	8.25	8.95	8.95	
	8	6.85	8.5	7.78
Urban Engineers				

20-011 RFP For PROFESSIONAL DESIGN SERVICES for RESURFACING OF MILL ROAD FOR EACH FIRM, GIVE A RATING OF 1-10 FOR EACH CATEGORY.

CATCORY	Technical Approach (40%)	Firm Qualifications (20%)	Staff Qualifications (25%)	DBE/ESBE Utilization (15%)	Final Score
CATEGORY	Technical Approach (1676)				
FIRM			0	6	10 7.9
ARH Associates	8.25	2	8	6	10 7.6
Consulting & Municipal Engineers (CME)	7.5	5	8	Б	
Consulting Engineer Services (CES)	8.2	5	8	8	10
	8.2	5	8	6	10 7.9
French & Parrello Associates	0.2.	7	8	8	10 7.9
GPI		/	0	9	10 8.6
Keller Engineers	8.7	5	8	0	10 8.05
Pennoni Associates		8	8	7	
Remington & Vernick Engineers (RVE)	8.	5	8	7	10 8.25
(Remington & Vernick Engineers (RVE)	0.				40

TECHNICAL APPROACH: Understanding of the effort required. Shall address (1) discussion of approaches to resolving any problems, and (2) community involvement process.

FIRM QUALIFICATIONS: Shall provide list of similar work including contact info for clients, full description of services provided by the firm, organizational chart and description of firm, and how subcontractors are used to supplement services. Location of the office that will be performing the work.

STAFF QUALIFICATIONS: Qualifications, experience and office address of firms and any subcontractor's staff. Resumes of the professional staff.

DBE UTILIZATION: This is a 1 or a 10. They meet the goal or they don't.

Scores provided by Rickie Caudill

Urban Engineers

20-011 RFP For PROFESSIONAL DESIGN SERVICES for RESURFACING OF MILL ROAD

FOR EACH FIRM, GIVE A RATING OF 1-10 FOR EACH CATEGORY.

CATEGORY	echnical Approach (40%)	Firm Qualifications (20%)	Staff Qualifications (25%)	DBE/ESBE Utilization (15%)	Final Score
FIRM				-1	7.85
ARH Associates		8	7	/	7.9
Consulting & Municipal Engineers (CME)		7	8	8	
Consulting Engineer Services (CES)		9	9	9	9.15
		0	7	7	10 7.85
French & Parrello Associates		6	8	5	10 6.35
GPI		5	8		10 6.65
Keller Engineers		5	/	,	10 8.3
Pennoni Associates		8	8	0	10
Remington & Vernick Engineers (RVE)		9	8	9	10 8.95
Urban Engineers		5	8	7	10 6.85

TECHNICAL APPROACH: Understanding of the effort required. Shall address (1) discussion of approaches to resolving any problems, and (2) community involvement process.

FIRM QUALIFICATIONS: Shall provide list of similar work including contact info for clients, full description of services provided by the firm, organizational chart and description of firm, and how subcontractors are used to supplement services. Location of the office that will be performing the work.

STAFF QUALIFICATIONS: Qualifications, experience and office address of firms and any subcontractor's staff. Resumes of the professional staff.

DBE UTILIZATION: This is a 1 or a 10. They meet the goal or they don't.

Scores provided by Mike Russo

20-011 RFP For PROFESSIONAL DESIGN SERVICES for RESURFACING OF MILL ROAD FOR EACH FIRM, GIVE A RATING OF 1-10 FOR EACH CATEGORY.

Staff Qualifications (25%) DBE/ESBE Utilization (15%) Final Score Firm Qualifications (20%) CATEGORY Technical Approach (40%) FIRM 8.3 10 **ARH Associates** 10 7.7 Consulting & Municipal Engineers (CME) 9.15 10 9 Consulting Engineer Services (CES) 9 10 8.1 French & Parrello Associates 7.85 10 10 9.15 Keller Engineers 8.95 10 Pennoni Associates 10 8.95 Remington & Vernick Engineers (RVE) 10 8.5 **Urban Engineers**

TECHNICAL APPROACH: Understanding of the effort required. Shall address (1) discussion of approaches to resolving any problems, and (2) community involvement process.

FIRM QUALIFICATIONS: Shall provide list of similar work including contact info for clients, full description of services provided by the firm, organizational chart and description of firm, and how subcontractors are used to supplement services. Location of the office that will be performing the work.

STAFF QUALIFICATIONS: Qualifications, experience and office address of firms and any subcontractor's staff. Resumes of the professional staff.

DBE UTILIZATION: This is a 1 or a 10. They meet the goal or they don't.

Scores provided by David Maillet